



3. Plaintiff Ronald W. Walden, Jr. (“Mr. Walden”) is a resident of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

4. Mr. Walden is a qualified individual with a disability as the term is defined by and within the meaning of the ADA. Mr. Walden is diagnosed with *osteogenesis imperfecta* and uses a wheelchair as his primary means of mobility.

5. Due to his disability, Plaintiff is substantially impaired in several major life activities and requires a wheelchair for mobility.

6. Upon information and belief, **2311 WEST BROAD STREET, LLC**, (“2311” or “Defendant,”) is the owner, lessor, and/or operator of the place of public accommodation including the real property and improvements which is the subject of this action (the “Property.”)

7. The Property is known as “Chicken Fiesta Rotisserie Chicken and Tex-Mex Grill”; it is generally located at 2311 W Broad St, Richmond, VA 23220.

8. The Defendant is registered to conduct business within the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Virginia State Corporation Commission and maintains a registered agent in this jurisdiction for the purpose of accepting service of process.

9. Defendant is responsible for complying with all applicable obligations of the ADA.

10. All events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred within the jurisdiction of the Eastern District of Virginia.

**COUNT I**  
**VIOLATION OF TITLE III OF THE ADA**

11. The allegations set forth in the paragraphs above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth in this count.

12. The Property is a place of public accommodation and is therefore subject to Title III of the ADA.

13. Plaintiff has visited the Property multiple times and attempted to utilize the goods and services offered at the Property and plans to return to the Property in the near future.

14. During his most recent visit, Mr. Walden experienced serious difficulty accessing the goods and utilizing the services therein due to the architectural barriers discussed in this Complaint. Moreover, but for the inaccessible condition of the Property, Plaintiff would like to visit the Property more often.

15. Due to the barriers, Plaintiff has been unable to, and continues to be unable to, enjoy full and equal access to good and services offered at the Property, owned, leased, and/or operated by Defendant. Additionally, Mr. Walden continues to desire to visit the Property, but fears that he will again encounter serious difficulty and safety hazards due to the barriers discussed herein which still exist.

16. Defendant discriminated and continues to discriminate against Plaintiff in violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181, *et seq.*, and 28 C.F.R. §§ 36.302, *et seq.*, by excluding and/or denying Plaintiff the benefits of the goods and services located on the Property by failing to provide and/or correct the following barriers to access which Plaintiff personally observed, encountered, and which hindered his access:

A. Plaintiff personally encountered inaccessible parking designated for disabled use throughout the Property due to excessive slopes, missing access aisles, and access aisles of improper dimensions. These conditions made it difficult for Plaintiff to transfer safely from his vehicle into his wheelchair.

B. Plaintiff personally encountered inaccessible disabled use parking space

due to an improper built up ramp with handrails improperly protruding into the designated access aisle and creating excessive slopes. This condition made it difficult for Plaintiff to transfer into and out of his wheelchair and increased his risk of fall.

17. As of the date of this filing, the readily achievable barriers and other violations of the ADA exist. They have not been remedied or altered in such a way as to effectuate compliance with the applicable provisions of the ADA.

18. Independent of his intent to return to the Property as a patron, Plaintiff intends to return to the Property as an ADA tester to determine whether the barriers to access in this Complaint have been remedied.

19. Removal of the barriers to access located on the Property is readily achievable, reasonably feasible and easily accomplishable without placing an undue burden on the Defendant.

20. Removal of the barriers to access located on the Property will allow Plaintiff to fully utilize the goods and services located on the Property.

21. The Plaintiff was obligated to retain the undersigned counsel for filing and prosecution of this action. The provisions of 42 U.S.C. § 12205 entitle Plaintiff to seek from Defendant and receive payment for reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action.

## **COUNT II STATE LAW CLAIM**

22. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates into this cause of action each and every allegation contained in the previous paragraphs of this Complaint.

23. Defendant's Property is a place of public accommodation under *Code of Virginia*,

§ 51.5-44.

24. The architectural barriers and other accessibility barriers constitute an ongoing act of discrimination against the Plaintiff as a person with a disability in violation of Section 51.5-44 of the Code of Virginia.

25. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's intentional and negligent failure to remove barriers to access that exist on Defendant's property, Plaintiff was and continues to be denied access and his civil rights were and continue to be violated.

26. Consequently, Mr. Walden seeks monetary damages from Defendant pursuant to *Code of Virginia*, § 51.5-46 for the discrimination he experienced and continues to experience.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Ronald W. Walden, Jr. demands judgment and execution against Defendant and requests the Court provide the following injunctive and declaratory relief:

- A. Declare the Property owned and administered by Defendant is in violation of the ADA;
- B. Enter an order directing Defendant to alter its facilities on the Property to make them accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA;
- C. Enter an order directing Defendant to evaluate and neutralize its policies and procedures toward persons with disabilities for such reasonable time so as to allow Defendant to undertake and complete corrective procedures;
- D. Award to the Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees, costs (including expert fees), and other expenses of this action;

- E. Award such other and further relief as it deems necessary, just and proper;
- F. Issue a Declaratory Judgment that Defendant violated the Virginia Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act;
- G. Order Defendant to alter the Property to make the facilities accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the Virginia Statewide Building Code;
- H. Award Plaintiff monetary damages from the Defendant pursuant to *Code of Virginia* § 51.5-46; and
- I. Award Plaintiff such other additional and proper relief as may be just and equitable.

**RONALD W. WALDEN, JR.**

By: /s/ Lindsey Clayton Magee Gordley

L. Clayton Magee, Esquire  
Virginia State Bar #95399  
Waters Law Firm, P.C.  
Town Point Center Building, Suite 600  
150 Boush Street  
Norfolk, VA 23510  
Telephone: (757) 446-1434  
Facsimile: (757) 446-1438  
[dwater@waterlawva.com](mailto:dwater@waterlawva.com)  
[cmagee@waterslawva.com](mailto:cmagee@waterslawva.com)

*Counsel for Plaintiff*